

## Constant Contact Survey Results

**Survey Name:** Lazy TH Trail Survey 2

**Response Status:** Partial & Completed

**Filter:** None

Jun 24, 2020 2:03:13 PM

### 1. Is there interest in establishing one or more walking paths through the Lazy TH Park lands? Please only one vote per lot. We also want additional input

Answer	Respondent
It is hard to give a straight up yes or no without knowing what the cost would be. We need more information in order to vote. 170 Sir Arthur Dr	mducuennois@yahoo.com
Lot #5	lpfalls@hotmail.com
76 Lucille Ln	akmcgeehan@yahoo.com
531 Terrance Loop	katieberry5@hotmail.com
If there is no money to build it, we could just mow a path in the summer to start with.	patrickinbigsky@gmail.com
Any houses that border the trail should have majority say in the matter as it directly affects them more than anyone else. Issues have been dogs pooping on the trail,	cheri_dyk@yahoo.com
I was not in favor for this last year due to privacy issues for some houses. However, I have been walking on the trails this year and have enjoyed not always walking on the pavement. Adds interest and length to the walk. Though the current trails are good there is still more space that can be utilized. The trail off Lucille is awfully close to	soneilhome@gmail.com
Yes! And planting some fast growing bushes or trees or add a bench or anything! We could have a volunteer group maintain them to help with overall cost projections. :)	keziaster@gmail.com
Let's keep the grassy paths in both fields. No maintenance cost as mowed by volunteer.	bkspokas@msn.com
Think money would be better spent elsewhere. Who will maintain it? Want to keep the subdivision as quiet and simple as possible.	sjwolfe306@gmail.com

Lot 67 Barb Tuss! We have a park for all subdivision to use for walking or walking their dogs or kids on bikes! The grass paths are nice and no extra cost to anyone !	barbadawn@hotmail.com
Thanks for re-sending the survey :)My concern is the way last year's survey was worded ("Do you want to pay for a trail?" Most people-"No!") All they will remember is that survey and the cost, which doesn't actually show interest, same as this survey. A better wording would be - "Cost aside (possibly paid for), are you interested in a	lizrowe1@gmail.com
80 Sir Arthur Drive People can already walk through the field if they want to and there are far better trails already existing in Bozeman than we could ever create. Creating a trail is not a good	chris@lynch6.com
The only reason that I am not in favor of spending money on the trail is that the trail is so short that I don't think it's worth it. My address is 63 Lucille lane	staciezl@yahoo.com
The few that want trails in Lazy TH should get out their checkbook and pay for the trails rather than trying to push the cost onto the rest of us. Furthermore, the statement last year by the person pushing it that our dues were down to \$185/year (referring that we could keep the old dues and pay for the trail), is not accounting for	kirkhogan@gmail.com
Spending any additional money in this time of crisis is foolish. Our entire neighborhood is already beautiful to walk through! There are too many unknowns regarding liability issues, cost of upkeep, insurance, and the possibility of criminal activity if we open up our neighborhood to the public in this way. There are other public	kittyharpist@aol.com
I would like to see the detailed plan before deciding. Seems like walking areas are pretty good now, especially since road traffic is usually pretty light. 144 Sir Arthur Drive.	edratz@gmail.com
253 Sir Arthur Drive Pros: Welcoming space for all residents to use. Cons: Inconsiderate folks who don't pick up their dog's poop. Considerations: Proximity to residences. HOA liability in case of injury on trail. Cost to create and maintain trails and who will be responsible for maintenance.  Thanks for your hard work!	ccolliver1@gmail.com
Current paths and roads are sufficient. Extra expense is not warranted.	dwessol@yahoo.com

Have no opinion at this time. Could change, however.	
473 Terrance Loop	laurie.ann.brauch@gmail.com
67 Rodeo court. The trail system would allow access to the central park area within the subdivision. This space has been highly unused by the residents of Lazy TH because of the pasture nature of this open space area. We believe starting to develop this park space starting with a trail system would be a good first step. The	solsenmt@gmail.com
164 Terrance Loop	cookschaft@gmail.com
If the majority votes in favor, it seems fitting that properties directly affected by potential trails have opportunity to weigh in their concerns before further steps are taken.	jbdsgirl@yahoo.com
We are strongly against having walking trails in the subdivision. We have lived with walking trails in a subdivision and it feels so much like an invasion of privacy having people be able to walk so close to your home. We are very much against it. Thank	abfountaine@gmail.com
I like what we have now, a simple mowed path. I DO NOT WANT to pay for anything more than a simple mowed path. if it is developed further and costs money to build and maintain, it will be a nightmare of poop and other walkers from outside our	bradncher@msn.com
Not if it's going to cost \$10,000. Very few people use the Patterson trail and it is perfect for Lazy TH owners and their dogs. And people pick up the waste (almost always). Walking on a new trail from Patterson to Lucille will do the job fine. It doesn't have to be constructed. No one "cuts" a new trail in the mountains and the old ones do just fine.	yeinkel.kligerman@gmail.com
I was initially for the idea but after walking the proposed trail I realize it would be very intrusive on privacy of those along the trail. I suggest, if there is interest in the trail, that those who's property is impacted vote. Only if a majority of those directly impacted by the loss of privacy vote for it should it go forward. If it is approved then	tonalbanwart@yahoo.com
It could be nice for walkers...but it could be expensive to create/maintain. Lot #1	k_m_rose1@msn.com

<p>It depends upon the cost and whether it will raise the fees charged each year. Dogs barking along the roads are very annoying when walking. I enjoy walking in the fields held in common.</p>	<p>cdratz2014@gmail.com</p>
<p>260 Terrance Loop. I thought we had settled this issue with the first survey and the conclusion was against it. There should be no reason for this survey. I hope the intent is not to keep doing surveys until those who want this get the answer they seek.</p>	<p>kristine@chimbos.net</p>
<p>Lot 13. That the social paths were established so quickly is clear indication that walking in the park is not an unusual behavior. That property owners adjacent to the park are so opposed to walking in the park should not restrict access for the rest of the HOA members who are owners of the park. Besides several board members</p>	<p>nwhether@gmail.com</p>
<p>This is 230 Terrance Loop. We would enjoy some established trails but think that there need to be some guidelines from the start. For example, it would not be fun to have a trail that could not be walked upon without dodging dog poop. Picking up after one's dogs should be a requirement for use. We would also encourage a leash law</p>	<p>mtbratke4@gmail.com</p>
<p>We recommend a path that comes North from Lucille and then turns east along the irrigation ditch (no longer crossing the ditch), uses Terrance Loop as the ditch crossing and then makes a U through the park going west and back east (making sure to not get too close to the backyard of the Lynch's or Dyk's and then turns back east and then crosses the perimeter fence where a section was previously removed</p>	<p>tory.atkins@gmail.com</p>